arXiv:1703.02215v1 [math.NT] 7 Mar 2017

On the vanishing of almost all primary components of the Shafarevich-Tate group of elliptic curves over the rationals

François Destrempes
fdestrempes@bell.net

Dmitry Malinin
dmalinin@gmail.com

March 8, 2017

Abstract

The Shafarevich-Tate and Selmer groups arise in the context of Kummer theory for elliptic curves. The finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate group of an elliptic curve E over the field of rational numbers is included in the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures, and is still an open question.

We present an overview of the Shafarevich-Tate and Selmer groups of an elliptic curve in the framework of Galois cohomology. Known results on the finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate group are mentioned, including results of Coates and Wiles, Rubin, Gross and Zagier, and Kolyvagin.

We then prove the vanishing of the ℓ-primary component of the Shafarevich-Tate group for almost all primes ℓ, under the assumption that the elliptic curve E has non-integral j-invariant, or that E has complex multiplication (CM).

1 Introduction

1.1 Statement of the problem

We recall Kummer theory for elliptic curves [27, pp. 331–332] to introduce the Shafarevich-Tate groups and the related Selmer groups.

Let K be an algebraic number field with absolute Galois group $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$, where $\overline{K}$ denotes the algebraic closure of K. Given an elliptic curve E over K and a prime number ℓ, one has the short exact sequence (isogeny property) of $\mathcal{G}$-modules:

$$0 \to E[\ell] \to E(\overline{K}) \xrightarrow{[\ell]} E(\overline{K}) \to 0, \tag{1}$$

where $E[\ell]$ denotes the group of ℓ-torsion points in $E(\overline{K})$. This yields, from the long exact sequence of Galois cohomology, the following exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \to E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{K}))[\ell] \to 0. \tag{2}$$

Recall that Galois cohomology (see [6] and [27, pp. 333-335]) is based on the profinite group structure of Galois groups (see [6] and [16, pp. 4-6]). In particular, 1-cocycles are continuous maps with respect to the Krull topology on Galois groups [16, p. 2] and the discrete topology on Galois modules. When the Galois group is finite, Galois cohomology coincides with group cohomology.

Given a place v of K, let K_v be the completion of K at v, and denote $\mathcal{G}_v$ the absolute Galois group of K_v. Then, $\mathcal{G}_v$ may be viewed as a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ upon considering an embedding of $\overline{K}$ into $\overline{K}_v$. Moreover, the resulting embedding is continuous with respect to the Krull topology on Galois groups. One then has a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{K}))[\ell] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}_v} & & \downarrow Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}_v} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E(K_v)/[\ell](E(K_v)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_v, E[\ell]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_v, E(\overline{K}_v))[\ell] & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array} \tag{3}$$

where $Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}_v}$ denotes the restriction map of Galois cohomology [27, pp. 331–332]. At this point, recall that the Shafarevich-Tate group of E over K is defined as [27, p. 332]:

$$Ш(E/K) = \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{K})) \to \oplus_v \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_v, E(\overline{K}_v))\Big\}. \tag{4}$$

Also, the $[\ell]$-Selmer group is defined as [27, pp. 331–334]:

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/K) = \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \oplus_v \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_v, E(\overline{K}_v))\Big\}. \tag{5}$$

Note that each homomorphism $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_v, E(\overline{K}_v))$ maps into the ℓ-torsion group $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_v, E(\overline{K}_v))[\ell]$. The above commutative diagram then yields a short exact sequence of the form [27, p. 333]:

$$0 \to E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) \xrightarrow{\partial} S^{[\ell]}(E/K) \to Ш(E/K)[\ell] \to 0. \tag{6}$$

One can show that the Selmer group $S^{[\ell]}(E/K)$ is finite [27, pp. 333–334], from which follows the finiteness of $E(K)/[\ell](E(K))$ (Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem). A descent argument based on the notion of height function then shows the following result [27, Theorem 6.7, p. 239].

Theorem 1 (Mordell-Weil Theorem)**.** *For any elliptic curve over an algebraic number field K, the group $E(K)$ is finitely generated.*

From the Mordell-Weil Theorem, one can define the rank of an elliptic curve:

$$\mathrm{rank}(E/K) = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}\, E(K). \tag{7}$$

Given a prime number ℓ, the Mordell-Weil Theorem implies that:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) = \mathrm{rank}(E/K) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} E[\ell](K), \tag{8}$$

where $E[\ell](K)$ is the group of ℓ-torsion points of $E(K)$. Considering the special case where $K = \mathbb{Q}$, a Theorem of Mazur implies that $\#E_{tor}(\mathbb{Q}) \leq 16$ [27, p. 42], so that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} E[\ell](\mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for almost all prime numbers ℓ. Then, $\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} E(\mathbb{Q})/[\ell](E(\mathbb{Q}))$.

Next, we recall the following results on the L-series attached to elliptic curves. Given an elliptic curve E over a global field K, one defines the auxiliary function [27, p. 450]:

$$\Lambda(E, s) = N_E^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L(E, s), \tag{9}$$

where N_E denotes the conductor of E/K, Γ denotes the Euler gamma function, and $L(E, s)$ is the L-series attached to E [27, pp. 449–452].

A construction of Eichler and Shimura associates to special functions, called modular forms [27, Section C.12], a type of elliptic curves, called modular elliptic curves [27, Section C.13], for which analytic continuation of the auxiliary function $\Lambda(E, s)$ to the entire complex plane can be demonstrated [27, Section C.16]. See [15] for a brief introduction.

Now, the Taniyama-Shimura-Weil conjecture states that any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ is modular. This conjecture was proved in 1995 for semi-stable elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ [30, 29] and then, the proof was extended to cover all elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ [1]. From there, one can conclude that $L(E, s)$ has an analytic continuation on the entire complex plane.

Theorem 2 (Wiles 1995, Taylor and Wiles 1995, Breuil et al. 2001)**.** *Modularity Theorem: Any elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ is modular.*

Based on Theorem 2, one can define the analytic rank of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$:

$$\text{rank}_{an}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{ord}_{s=1}\, L(E, s). \tag{10}$$

The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture includes the following statement [27, Conjecture 16.5, part a), p. 452]:

> BSD-1: $\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \text{rank}_{an}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, for any elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$.

The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture assumes also the following conjecture [27, p. 341] formulated independently by Shafarevich and Tate [22]:

> S-T: the Shafarevich-Tate group $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite, for any elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$.

From S-T, one would have:

$$\text{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} E(\mathbb{Q})/[\ell](E(\mathbb{Q})) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}), \tag{11}$$

for all but finitely many prime numbers ℓ, based on Mazur's Theorem.

Conjecture S-T is assumed in the second part of the BSD conjectures (BSD-2) on the value of the leading coefficient in the Taylor expansion of $L(E, s)$ at $s = 1$. See [27, Conjecture 16.5, part b), p. 452].

A result of Coates and Wiles [3] states that BSD-1 holds in the case of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with complex multiplication (CM), if the analytic rank is equal to 0. Rubin [20] proved that conjecture S-T holds under the same conditions.

Theorem 3 (Coates and Wiles 1977, Rubin 1987)**.** *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with CM. Assume that* $\mathrm{rank}_{an}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = 0$*. Then, conjectures BSD-1 and S-T hold.*

Kolyvagin extended Rubin's result to any elliptic curve of analytic rank at most 1 [8, 9, 11], building on a result of Gross and Zagier [5]; see also [10, Theorem 1, p. 430].

Theorem 4 (Gross and Zagier 1986, Kolyvagin 1988–1991)**.** *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that* $\mathrm{rank}_{an}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \leq 1$*. Then, conjectures BSD-1 and S-T hold.*

The reader may consult [21] and [22] for further reading on the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjectures and the rank of elliptic curves. In particular, the notions of Heegner points and Euler systems are explained. In this work, these notions do not intervene.

Results on the finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate group have been obtained by Kolyvagin and Logachev in the case of abelian varieties [12, 13].

The finiteness of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ implies that its order is a perfect square, based on Cassels' pairing for elliptic curves [27, p. 341]. Poonen and Stoll have studied the Cassels-Tate pairing in the case of abelian varieties [19].

1.2 Main theorems of this work and consequences

Firstly, as mentioned in [18, Section 3], the Shafarevich-Tate group is a torsion group, for the simple reason that it is a subgroup of the torsion group $H^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))$, having considered Galois cohomology.

The following proposition states equivalent formulations.

Proposition 1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over an algebraic number field K. Let ℓ be a prime number. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

a)

$$Ш(E/K)_\ell = 0, \tag{12}$$

where $Ш(E/K)_\ell$ denotes the ℓ-primary component of $Ш(E/K)$.

b)

$$Ш(E/K)[\ell] = 0. \tag{13}$$

c)

$$\partial : E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) \xrightarrow{\approx} S^{[\ell]}(E/K). \tag{14}$$

d)

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/K) = \ker\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{K}))\}. \tag{15}$$

Proof. *a)* $\Rightarrow$ *b)*. This is clear since $Ш(E/K)[\ell] \subseteq Ш(E/K)_\ell$.

b) $\Rightarrow$ *a)*. Assume that $Ш(E/K)[\ell] = 0$. Let A be any finite subgroup of $Ш(E/K)_\ell$. Then, A is of the form $\oplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{m_i}\mathbb{Z}$, where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, one must have $n \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} Ш(E/K)[\ell]$. Thus, $n = 0$ and $Ш(E/K)_\ell = 0$.

b) $\Leftrightarrow$ *c)*. This follows from the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) \xrightarrow{\partial} S^{[\ell]}(E/K) \to Ш(E/K)[\ell] \to 0. \tag{16}$$

c) $\Leftrightarrow$ *d)*. From the short exact sequence (2), one has an isomorphism:

$$\partial : E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) \xrightarrow{\approx} \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{K}))\Big\}. \tag{17}$$

From the compositum of homomorphisms at any place v of K:

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{K})) \xrightarrow{Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}_v}} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_v, E(\overline{K}_v)), \tag{18}$$

one obtains

$$\partial : E(K)/[\ell](E(K)) \xrightarrow{\approx} \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{K}))\Big\} \subseteq S^{[\ell]}(E/K). \tag{19}$$

The equivalence is now clear. □

We now state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 5. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that $j(E) \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for all prime numbers ℓ but finitely many, one has:*

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))\Big\}. \tag{20}$$

We also have the following special case whenever E has integral j-invariant. As in [23, IV-2.1], we say that an elliptic curve has complex multiplication (CM), if it does over some finite extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$; *i.e.*, $\mathrm{End}_F(E)$ is an order in an imaginary quadratic field K [21, Section 5].

Theorem 6. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with CM. Then, for all prime numbers ℓ but finitely many, one has:*

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))\Big\}. \tag{21}$$

Proposition 1 shows that Theorems 5 and 6 imply the following consequences.

Corollary 1. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that $j(E) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or that E has CM. Then, for all prime numbers ℓ but finitely many, one has:*

a)

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})_\ell = 0, \tag{22}$$

where $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})_\ell$ denotes the ℓ-primary component of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$;

b)

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})[\ell] = 0; \tag{23}$$

c)

$$\partial : E(\mathbb{Q})/[\ell](E(\mathbb{Q})) \xrightarrow{\approx} S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}). \tag{24}$$

Mazur's Theorem then implies the following result.

Corollary 2. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that $j(E) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or that E has CM. Then, for all prime numbers ℓ but finitely many, one has:*

$$\operatorname{rank}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_\ell} S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}). \tag{25}$$

Since $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})[\ell]$ is finite for any prime ℓ, as it is a quotient group of the finite group $S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, it follows that the ℓ-primary component of $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is of the form:

$$Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})_\ell = (\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{n_\ell} \oplus T_\ell, \tag{26}$$

where $n_\ell \geq 0$ and T_ℓ is a finite ℓ-group [18, Section 12].

Thus, based on Theorems 5 and 6, the only missing piece to proving that $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is finite, in the case of non-integral j-invariant or CM, is a proof that $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})$ has no infinitely divisible element. See also [27, p. 341] on this issue.

In Section 4, an example of an elliptic curve of rank at least 7 with non-integral j-invariant is given. It can be noticed that this example solves the open problem mentioned in [28, Problem 2.16, p. 27] in a much stronger form.

See Section 3.4 for additional results in the remaining case (integral j-invariant and no CM).

2 Background on elliptic curves

2.1 Basic notions

Let K be a field and E be an elliptic curve over K. The elliptic curve admits a Weierstrass equation [27, p. 42]:

$$y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6. \tag{27}$$

One defines the quantities $b_2 = a_1^2 + 4a_2$, $b_4 = 2a_4 + a_1a_3$, $b_6 = a_3^2 + 4a_6$, $b_8 = a_1^2a_6 + 4a_2a_6 - a_1a_3a_4 + a_2a_3^2 - a_4^2$. We also set $c_4 = b_2^2 - 24b_4$ and $c_6 = -b_2^3 + 36b_2b_4 - 216b_6$. Then, the discriminant Δ is equal to $-b_2^2b_8 - 8b_4^3 - 27b_6^2 + 9b_2b_4b_6$ and the j-invariant is equal to c_4^3/Δ.

Given the cubic curve defined by a Weierstrass equation (27), there are three cases [27, p. 45]:

(1) The curve is non-singular if $\Delta \neq 0$.

(2) The curve has a node if $\Delta = 0$ and $c_4 \neq 0$.

(3) The curve has a cusp if $\Delta = 0$ and $c_4 = 0$.

In cases (2) and (3), there is only one singular point. In case (1), the curve is an elliptic curve with base point $O = [0, 1, 0]$.

The elliptic curve has also Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^2 - 27c_4x - 54c_6$, if the characteristic of K is different from 2 and 3 [27, p. 43]. Thus, it is of the form $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$. Two elliptic curves are isomorphic over $\overline{K}$ if and only if they have the same j-invariant [27, p. 45]. If K has characteristic different from 2 and 3, the proof of that result [27, pp. 46–47] shows that an isomorphism

holds over a base extension obtained by adjoining $(A/A')^{1/4}$ (case $j = 1728$) or $(B/B')^{1/6}$ (case $j = 0$) or $(A/A')^{1/4} = (B/B')^{1/6}$ (other cases) to K, where the two curves have equations $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + B$ and $y^2 = x^3 + A'x + B'$ over K, respectively. So, unless $j = 0$ or 1728, the base field extension has degree dividing 2 (the g.c.d. of 4 and 6).

Now, let ℓ be a prime number. If the characteristic of K is different from ℓ, then the group $E[\ell] = E[\ell](\overline{K})$ of ℓ-torsion points of E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus Z/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. If K has characteristic ℓ, then $E[\ell]$ is isomorphic to 0 or $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. See [27, p. 86].

Given an elliptic curve over a field K, one constructs its formal group F as in [27, pp. 115-120]. If K has characteristic ℓ, multiplication by ℓ in F (denoted $\ell[X] \in K[[X]]$) is either 0 or else is of the form $g(X^{\ell^h})$, where $g'(0) \neq 0$ [7]. In the latter case, h is called the height of F.

Let k be a finite field of characteristic ℓ and $\widetilde{E}$ be an elliptic curve over k. Then, either [27, p. 144–145]:

(1) The formal group of $\widetilde{E}$ has height $h = 2$ and $\widetilde{E}[\ell] = 0$ (the Hasse invariant is 0, or the curve is supersingular);

or

(2) The formal group of $\widetilde{E}$ has height 1 and $\widetilde{E}[\ell] = \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ (the Hasse invariant is 1, or the curve is ordinary).

The first case occurs if and only if $j(\widetilde{E}) \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}$ and the map $[\ell]$ is purely inseparable.

2.2 Elliptic curves over local fields

Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and let $\overline{K}$ be its algebraic closure. Let v be the valuation of K. Then, we consider its minimal Weierstrass equation [27, pp. 185–187]. That is a Weierstrass equation with coefficients in the integer ring $\mathcal{O}_K$ of K with minimal value of $v(\Delta)$ among all such equations. Therefore, one can look at its reduction $\widetilde{E}$ modulo a uniformizer π_K of K [27, p. 187], defined over the residue field k_v of K. One says [27, pp. 196–197]:

1) E has good reduction if $\widetilde{E}$ is non-singular ($v(\Delta) = 0$).

2) E has multiplicative reduction if $\widetilde{E}$ has a node ($v(\Delta) > 0$ and $v(c_4) = 0$).

3) E has additive reduction if $\widetilde{E}$ has a cusp ($v(\Delta), v(c_4) > 0$).

The set of non-singular points $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{k}_v)$ of the reduced curve forms a group [27, p. 56]. In the case of good reduction $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{k}_v) = \widetilde{E}(\overline{k}_v)$ is an elliptic curve defined over k_v. In the case of multiplicative reduction, $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{k}_v) \approx \overline{k}_v^*$. In the case of additive reduction, $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{k}_v) \approx \overline{k}_v^+$. See also [27, Exercise 3.5, p. 105].

A sufficient condition for a Weierstrass equation to be minimal is that $v(\Delta) < 12$ or that $v(c_4) < 4$ [27, Remark 1.1, p. 186]. Therefore, in the case of good reduction ($v(\Delta) = 0$) or multiplicative reduction ($v(c_4) = 0$), a minimal Weierstrass equation remains minimal after base field extension [27, Proposition 5.4.(b), p. 197]. In the case of additive reduction, after a suitable finite base field extension (see below), the reduction turns either good or multiplicative.

For an example of the former case, see [27, Example 5.2, p. 196–197]. For an example of the latter case, let p be a prime number greater than 3 and consider $E : y^2 = x^3 + \sqrt[3]{p}x^2 + p^2$ over $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\sqrt[3]{p})$; then, over $K(\sqrt[2]{p})$, E has Weierstrass equation $y^2 = x^3 + x^2 + p$, as can be seen with the change of variable $y = \sqrt[2]{p}y'$ and $x = \sqrt[3]{p}x'$.

Next, recall that E has good reduction after a base extension (potential good reduction) if and only if its j-invariant is an integer of K [27, p. 197]. The proof of this result in the case $\mathrm{char}(k_v) \neq 2$ [27, p. 199] relies on a Weierstrass equation in Legendre form $y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)$, $\lambda \neq 0, 1$, [27, p. 49]. Such an equation can be obtained after adjoining the roots of the cubic polynomial $x^3 + (b_2/4)x^2 + (b_4/2)x + b_6/4 = (x-e_1)(x-e_2)(x-e_3)$ and then adjoining the square root of $e_2 - e_1$. Thus, the base extension K'/K can be taken of degree dividing 12. If $\mathrm{char}(k_v) = 2$, the proof relies on a Weierstrass equation in Deuring normal form $y^2 + \alpha xy + y = x^3$, $\alpha^3 \neq 27$. Such an equation is obtained after adjoining a root α of the polynomial $x^3(x^3-24)^3 - (x^3-27)j(E)$, yielding a base field extension of degree dividing $3d'$ with $1 \leq d' \leq 4$, and then over an extra base field extension of degree 2, 4 or 6 to obtain an isomorphism with the initial elliptic curve [27, Proposition 1.3, p. 412, and p. 47]. In all cases, the base field extension K'/K has degree d divided only by powers of 2 and 3.

If $\mathrm{char}(k_v) \neq 2$, consideration of a Weierstrass equation in Legendre form over a field extension K' of degree dividing 12 shows that E has either good or multiplicative reduction over K' [27, p. 198]. If $\mathrm{char}(k_v) = 2$, one considers a Weierstrass equation in Deuring normal form over a field extension K'/K of degree d divided only by powers of 2 and 3 [27, p. 413].

There is a well-defined reduction map $E(K) \to \widetilde{E}(k_v)$ [27, p. 188]. Let $E_0(K)$ denote the pre-image of $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(k_v)$ under that map. Then, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to E_1(K) \to E_0(K) \to \widetilde{E}_{ns}(k_v) \to 0, \tag{28}$$

where the second map is the reduction map, the first map is inclusion, and $E_1(K)$ consists of all points that reduce to the origin of $\widetilde{E}(k_v)$ [27, pp. 187–188]. From the above remark, in the case of good reduction or multiplicative reduction, the above sequence extends to a short exact sequence of Galois modules:

$$0 \to E_1(\overline{K}) \to E_0(\overline{K}) \to \widetilde{E}_{ns}(\overline{k}_v) \to 0, \tag{29}$$

where $E_{ns}(\overline{k}_v) \approx \overline{k}_v^*$ in the case of multiplicative reduction.

Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$F_v(\mathcal{M}_K) \approx E_1(K), \tag{30}$$

where F_v is the formal group of E over $\mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathcal{M}_K$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_K$ [27, p. 191].

Now, let ℓ be a prime number (possibly different from p) and consider a finite extension L/K with valuation w. Based on the above facts, we obtain an exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \to W_1 \approx E_1[\ell] \to E_0[\ell] \to \widetilde{E}_{ns}[\ell]. \tag{31}$$

Here, W_1 is the group of ℓ-torsion points of $F_w(\mathcal{M}_L)$, and $E_1[\ell]$, $E_0[\ell]$ and $\widetilde{E}_{ns}[\ell]$ are the groups of ℓ torsion points of $E_1(L)$, $E_0(L)$ and $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(k_w)$, respectively. Also, reduction is with respect to a minimal Weierstrass equation for E over L (not necessarily the same one as over K in the case of additive reduction over K).

We also have an exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \to E_0[\ell] \to E[\ell] \approx \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \to E[\ell]/E_0[\ell] \to 0. \tag{32}$$

The Kodaira-Néron Theorem [27, Theorem 6.1, p. 200] states that the abelian group $E[\ell]/E_0[\ell]$ has order at most 4 except possibly in the case of split multiplicative reduction, in which case $E[\ell]/E_0[\ell]$ is cyclic of order $v(\Delta) = -v(j)$.

Lemma 1. *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a local field K, with bad reduction. Let $\ell > 3$ be a prime number different from the characteristic p of the residue field k_v of K. If E has potential good reduction, then E has good reduction over $L = K(E[\ell])$. If E has no potential good reduction, then E has multiplicative reduction over L.*

Proof. By way of contradiction, assume that E has additive reduction over L. Then, there is a short exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \to E_1(L) \to E_0(L) \to \widetilde{E}_{ns}(k_w) \approx k_w^+ \to 0, \tag{33}$$

where k_w denotes the residue field of L. This yields a short exact sequence:

$$0 \to E_1[\ell] \to E_0[\ell] \to \widetilde{k}_w^+[\ell], \tag{34}$$

since $E_1[\ell], E_0[\ell] \subseteq E[\ell]$, as $L = K(E[\ell])$. But since $\ell \neq p$, it follows that $E_1[\ell] = 0$ and that $k_w^+[\ell] = 0$. Therefore, one obtains that $E_0[\ell] = 0$. Now, let o be the order of $E(L)/E_0(L)$. Then, an element P of $E[\ell]$ satisfies both conditions $[\ell]P = 0 \in E_0(L)$ and $[o]P \in E_0(L)$. Therefore, since $(o, \ell) = 1$, as $\ell > 3$, one concludes that $P \in E_0[\ell]$. This means that $E[\ell] \subseteq E_0[\ell] = 0$. However, this conclusion contradicts the fact that $E[\ell] \approx \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, as $L = K(E[\ell])$.

So, if E has bad potentially good reduction, then E must have good reduction over L. If E has bad and no potential good reduction, then E must have multiplicative reduction over L. □

2.3 Elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$

Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. We denote Σ_E the set of primes at which E has bad reduction. The set Σ_E is finite [27, Remark 1.3, p. 211] and is non-empty [27, Exerc. 8.15, p. 264]. Furthermore, E admits a global minimal Weierstrass equation (with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$) [27, Corollary 8.3, p. 245]. We let $\Sigma_{E,add}$ ($\Sigma_{E,mult}$) denote the (finite) sets of primes p such that E has additive (respectively, multiplicative) reduction at p. We set $\Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)\geq 0}$ the set of primes at which E has bad potentially good reduction and $\Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0}$ the set

of primes at which E has bad and no potential good reduction. Here, ord_p denotes the valuation on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that $\mathrm{ord}_p(p) = 1$.

We consider the following cases:

Case A: $p = \ell$.

Case B: $p \neq \ell$ and $p \notin \Sigma_E$; E has good reduction at p and ℓ is not equal to the characteristic of the residue field of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

Case C: $p \neq \ell$ and $p \in \Sigma_E$, with potential good reduction of E at p; *i.e.*, $p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)\geq 0}$. Then, $p \in \Sigma_{E,add}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E)) \geq 0$.

Case D: $p \neq \ell$ and $p \in \Sigma_E$, with no potential good reduction of E at p; *i.e.*, $p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0}$. Then, $p \in \Sigma_{E,add} \cup \Sigma_{E,mult}$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E)) < 0$.

One says [23, IV-2.1] that E has CM if for some finite extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$, the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_F(E)$ is an order $\mathcal{O}$ of an imaginary quadratic extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$ [21, Section 5]. In the case of no CM, the endomorphism ring $\mathrm{End}_F(E)$ is minimal; *i.e.*, it is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ [27, Corollary 9.4, p. 102].

In case A, E has good reduction at ℓ for all but finitely many primes ℓ. If $\ell \notin \Sigma_E$, E has either supersingular or ordinary good reduction at ℓ, according to whether the reduced elliptic curve $\widetilde{E}$ is supersingular or ordinary.

In the case of no CM, Serre proved that the set of primes ℓ at which E has ordinary good reduction has density 1 (c.f. [26, Corollaire 1, p. 189], using [27, Exerc. 5.10, p. 154]). See also [27, Exerc. 5.11, p. 154] for a weaker statement in the case of an arbitrary elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. On the other hand, Elkies proved that any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ has infinitely many primes ℓ at which E has supersingular good reduction [4].

3 Proof of the Main Theorems

Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ and ℓ be a prime number. We denote the group of ℓ-torsion points $E[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ by $E[\ell]$. We set $G = \mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$, where $L = \mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$. The absolute Galois groups $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/L)$ are denoted $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{H}$, respectively.

For each place $v \mid p$ of L, where p is a prime number, we set $\mathcal{G}_p = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $\mathcal{H}_v = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p/L_v)$. We consider the $[\ell]$-Selmer groups:

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/L) = \ker\Big\{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{\oplus_v Res^{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{H}_v}} \oplus_v \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{H}_v, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))\Big\}; \quad (35)$$

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \ker\Big\{\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{\oplus_p Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}_p}} \oplus_p \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_p, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))\Big\}. \quad (36)$$

We are interested in computing the groups $S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ and $S^{[\ell]}(E/L)$. Note that the $[\ell]$-Selmer groups are finite [27, Theorem 4.2, part b), p. 333] and, in fact, are finite vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$.

3.1 Map from $S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ into $S^{[\ell]}(E/L)$

We recall the exact inflation-restriction sequence of Galois cohomology.

Lemma 2 (Inflation-restriction exact sequence)**.** *Let G be a (possibly infinite) profinite group with closed normal subgroup N. Let A be a G-module. Then, there is an exact (inflation-restriction) sequence:*

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(G/N, A^N) \xrightarrow{Inf^G_{G/N}} \mathrm{H}^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{Res^G_N} \mathrm{H}^1(N, A)^{G/N}, \tag{37}$$

where $g \in G$ acts on a 1-cocyle $f : N \to A$ as $(g \cdot f)(n) = {}^g f(g^{-1}ng)$ for $n \in N$.

Proof. As in [27, p. 420], one has an exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathrm{H}^1(G/N, A^N) \xrightarrow{Inf^G_{G/N}} \mathrm{H}^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{Res^G_N} \mathrm{H}^1(N, A). \tag{38}$$

Then, one computes directly:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^g f(g^{-1}ng) &= {}^g\{f(g^{-1}) + {}^{g^{-1}}(f(n) + {}^n f(g))\} \\ &= {}^g f(g^{-1}) + f(n) + {}^n f(g) = -f(g) + f(n) + {}^n f(g) \\ &= f(n) + (n-1)f(g). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

So, taking $g \in N$, one sees that the action of G on $\mathrm{H}^1(N, A)$ factors through G/N. Moreover, if f is defined on all of G, one deduces that $Res^G_N(f) \in \mathrm{H}^1(N, A)^{G/N}$. □

Proposition 2. *Let ℓ be a prime number and E an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, one has a homomorphism of groups:*

$$Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{H}} : S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \to S^{[\ell]}(E/L)^{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}}. \tag{40}$$

Proof. From the inflation-restriction sequence, we obtain a commutative diagram for any $v \mid p$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{H}}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{H}, E[\ell])^{\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res^{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{G}_p}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res^{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{H}_v}} \\ \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_p, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))[\ell] & \xrightarrow{Res^{\mathcal{G}_p}_{\mathcal{H}_v}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{H}_v, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))[\ell]. \end{array} \tag{41}$$

The result now follows from an easy diagram chasing. □

3.2 The group $S^{[\ell]}(E/L)$

Motivated by Proposition 2, we consider the $[\ell]$-Selmer group of E over L.

Now, by construction, the Galois group $\mathcal{H}$ acts trivially on $E[\ell]$, so that one has:

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{H}, E[\ell]) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, E[\ell]) \approx \oplus_{i=1}^2 \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{F}_\ell). \tag{42}$$

Moreover, L contains necessarily μ_ℓ because $\Lambda^2 T_\ell(E) \approx T_\ell(\mu)$, as is well-known, where μ denotes here the multiplicative group. See [27, p. 99].

Thus, any non-trivial character χ in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{F}_\ell)$ factors through the Galois group of a Kummer extension of degree ℓ. In particular, one has an isomorphism:

$$L^*/(L^*)^\ell \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{F}_\ell) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}^\ell, \mathbb{F}_\ell). \tag{43}$$

Since the group $L^*/(L^*)^\ell$ is infinite, we want to specify those characters in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, E[\ell])$ that belong to the $[\ell]$-Selmer group of E over L.

Thus, we consider a character $\Psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, E[\ell])$ such that the following condition holds:

$$(*) \qquad Res^{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{H}_v}(\Psi) \mapsto 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{H}_v, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)), \tag{44}$$

for a given finite place $v \mid p$ of L.

Let $L' = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\ker \Psi}$, $N = \mathrm{Gal}(L'/L)$ and $N_{v'} = N_v$ (N is abelian) be its decomposition group at $v' \mid v$. Thus, N can be viewed as a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_\ell \oplus \mathbb{F}_\ell$. We have a commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(N, E[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{Inf^{\mathcal{H}}_N} & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{H}, E[\ell]) \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res^N_{N_v}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res^{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{H}_v}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E(L'_{v'})) & \xrightarrow{Inf^{\mathcal{H}_v}_{N_v}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{H}_v, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)). \end{array} \tag{45}$$

Therefore, given $\Psi' \in \mathrm{Hom}(N, E[\ell])$, $Res^N_{N_v}(\Psi')$ splits in $E(L'_{v'})$ if and only if $Res^{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathcal{H}_v} \circ Inf^{\mathcal{H}}_N(\Psi')$ does in $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Henceforth, condition (**) – applied to $\Psi = Inf^{\mathcal{H}}_N(\Psi')$ – is equivalent to:

$$(**) \qquad Res^N_{N_v}(\Psi') \mapsto 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E(L'_{v'})). \tag{46}$$

In particular, if $N_v = 0$, condition (**) holds trivially. Note also that Ψ' is not identically 0 on any non-trivial subgroup of N.

Proposition 3. *Assume that $\ell > 3$.*

a) In cases B and C, condition (**) holds at a place v of L if only if

v is unramified in $L'_{v'}$ and $Res^N_{N_v}(\Psi')$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, \widetilde{E}_v(k_{v'}))$, where $k_{v'}$ denotes the residue field of $L'_{v'}$.

b) In Case D, v is at most tamely ramified in $L'_{v'}$.

Proof. Part a). Case B: $v \mid p \neq \ell$ and $p \notin \Sigma_E$. Assume that condition (**) holds. Let I_v be the inertia subgroup of $L'_{v'}/L_v$. Then, there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to E_0(L'_{v'}) \to E(L'_{v'}) \to \widetilde{E}_v(k_{v'}) \to 0, \tag{47}$$

where $k_{v'}$ denotes the residue field of $L'_{v'}$. Condition (**) then implies that $Res^N_{N_v}(\Psi')$ splits in $\widetilde{E}_v(k_{v'})$. Since I_v acts trivially on $\widetilde{E}_v(k_{v'})$ and $E[\ell] \approx \widetilde{E}_v[\ell] \subset \widetilde{E}_v(k_{v'})$, this implies that Ψ' is trivial on I_v. Therefore, $I_v = 0$, which means that v is unramified in $L'_{v'}$. Furthermore, we obviously have that $Res^N_{N_v}(\Psi')$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, \widetilde{E}_v(k_{v'}))$.

For the converse, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E_0(L'_{v'})) = 0, \tag{48}$$

whenever $L'_{v'}/L_v$ is unramified of degree a power of ℓ, for then one obtains a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}(N, E[\ell]) & & \stackrel{\approx}{\longrightarrow} & & \mathrm{Hom}(N, \widetilde{E}_v[\ell]) \\ \Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle Res^N_{N_v}} & & & & \Big\downarrow {\scriptstyle Res^N_{N_v}} \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E(L'_{v'})) & & \stackrel{reduction}{\longrightarrow} & & \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, \widetilde{E}_v(k_{v'})). \end{array} \tag{49}$$

Now, $E_0(L'_{v'}) \approx F_v(\mathcal{M}_{v'})$, where F_v is the formal group of E over $\mathcal{O}_v$, the integer ring of L_v, and $\mathcal{M}_{v'}$ is the maximal ideal of the integer ring $\mathcal{O}_{v'}$ of $L'_{v'}$. Moreover, from [27, Proposition 6.3, p. 200], one has a short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \pi_v^r \mathcal{O}_{v'} \to F_v(\mathcal{M}_{v'}) \to M \to 0 \tag{50}$$

for some positive integer r, where π_v is a uniformizer of L_v, and M is a finite $\mathbb{Z}_p$-representation of N_v that is annihilated by a power of p (recall that $v \mid p \neq \ell$). Since N_v has order ℓ (recall that N_v is a cyclic subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_\ell \oplus \mathbb{F}_\ell$), it follows that $\mathrm{H}^1(N_v, M) = 0$. Thus, it is sufficient to show that:

$$\mathrm{H}^1(N_v, \mathcal{O}_{v'}) = 0, \tag{51}$$

whenever $L'_{v'}/L_v$ is unramified. This result is well-known and can be proved by using the facts that $\mathrm{H}^1(N_v, k_{v'}) = 0$ and that $\mathcal{O}_{v'}$ is complete.

Case C: $v \mid p \neq \ell$ and $p \in \Sigma_E$, with additive reduction of E at p and $\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E)) \geq 0$. From Lemma 1 (by assumption, $\ell > 3$), it follows that E has good reduction over L. Therefore, the argument in case B applies.

Part b). Case D: This is clear since ℓ is coprime with the characteristic of the residue field of L_v. □

We now recall the notion of conductor of a finite abelian extension of local fields F/L_v [16, p. 44]. Let π_v be a uniformizer of L_v and denote the prime ideal (π_v) by $\mathfrak{p}_v$. Set $U_v^{(0)} = U_v$ the group of units of the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_v$ of L_v, and $U_v^{(n)} = 1 + \mathfrak{p}_v^n$ for $n \geq 1$. The conductor of F/L_v is equal to $\mathfrak{f}_v = \mathfrak{p}_v^n$, where n is the smallest integer such that $U_v^{(n)} \subset N_{F/L_v}(F^*)$.

Next, assume that $\mu_\ell \subset L_v^*$. Let $\mathcal{R}_{L_v} : L_v^* \to \mathrm{Gal}(L_v^{ab}/L_v)$ be Artin's local reciprocity map. Let χ_a be the Kummer character associated to an element a of L_v^*; *i.e.*, $\chi_a(\sigma) = \sigma(a^{1/\ell})/a^{1/\ell}$. Then, Hilbert's local symbol is defined as $(a, b)_{v,\ell} = \chi_a(\mathcal{R}_{L_v}(b)) \in \mu_\ell$ [25, p. 205]. One has: $(a, b)_{v,\ell} = 1$ if and only if b is a norm from the extension $L_v(a^{1/\ell})/L_v$ [25, Proposition 4, p. 206]. It follows that the conductor of the Kummer extension $L_v(a^{1/\ell})/L_v$ is given by the smallest integer n such that $(a, b)_{v,\ell} = 1$ for all $b \in U_v^{(n)}$.

Proposition 4. *Let $\ell > 3$. Assume that condition (**) holds at a place v of L. Let $\mathfrak{f}_v$ be the conductor of $L'_{v'}/L_v$. Then,*

a) Case A: $\mathfrak{f}_v \mid \mathfrak{p}_v^{1+e_v\ell/(\ell-1)}$, where e_v is the absolute ramification index of L_v.

b) Cases B and C: $\mathfrak{f}_v = 1$.

c) Case D: $\mathfrak{f}_v \mid \mathfrak{p}_v$.

Proof. Part a). Case A: The prime number ℓ is equal to the characteristic of the residue field of L_v. Moreover, one has $\mu_\ell \subset L_v^*$. It is sufficient to consider the case where F'/L_v is a cyclic subextension of $L'_{v'}/L_v$ of degree ℓ. Indeed, $L'_{v'}/L_v$ is either the trivial extension, a cyclic extension of degree ℓ or the compositum of two cyclic extensions F' and F'' of degree ℓ. In the first case, there is nothing to prove. In the third case, the inclusions $1+\mathfrak{p}_v^m \subset N_{F'/L_v}((F')^*)$ and $1+\mathfrak{p}_v^n \subset N_{F''/L_v}((F'')^*)$ imply that $1+\mathfrak{p}_v^{\max(m,n)} \subset N_{F'/L_v}((F')^*) \cap N_{F''/L_v}((F'')^*) = N_{F'F''/L_v}((F'F'')^*)$. Thus, we consider the case where F'/L_v is a Kummer extension of degree ℓ, say $F' = L_v(x^{1/\ell})$, that might be wildly ramified. From [14, p. 186], one has the inclusion $U_v^{(1+e_v\ell/(\ell-1))} \subset U_v^\ell$. But, one obviously has $(x,b)_{v,\ell} = 1$ for all $b \in U_v^\ell$. Thus, $\mathfrak{f}_v \mid \mathfrak{p}_v^{1+e_v\ell/(\ell-1)}$.

Part b). Cases B and C: From local class field theory, one has $\mathfrak{f}_v = 1$ if and only if v/L_v is unramified [16, Proposition (3.4), p. 44], which holds from Proposition 3 (since $\ell > 3$).

Part c). Case D: The prime number ℓ is coprime with the characteristic p of the residue field of L_v. Moreover, one has $\mu_\ell \subset L_v^*$. As above, it is sufficient to consider the case where F'/L_v is a cyclic subextension of $L'_{v'}/L_v$ of degree ℓ. Then, F'/L_v is tamely ramified and it is sufficient to consider the case where it is totally tamely ramified. Thus, we consider the case where F'/L_v is a Kummer extension of the form $L_v(\pi_v^{1/\ell})/L_v$ for some uniformizer π_v of L_v [14, Proposition 12, p. 52]. In the case where ℓ is coprime with p, Hilbert's local symbol can be computed explicitly as in [25, pp. 210–211]. Namely, let $(a) = (\pi_v)^\alpha$ and $(b) = (\pi_v)^\beta$. Set $c = (-1)^{\alpha\beta}a^\beta/b^\alpha$. Then, $(a,b)_{v,\ell} = \overline{c}^{(q-1)/\ell}$, where $\overline{c}$ is the image of c in the residue field of L_v and q is the cardinality of the residue field. In our case, $a = \pi_v$, so that $\alpha = 1$. Now, let $b \in U_v$, so that $\beta = 0$. Then, $c = 1/b$, so that $(a,b)_{v,\ell} = (\overline{b})^{-(q-1)/\ell}$. It follows that $(\pi_v, b)_{v,\ell} = 1$ for all $b \in U_v^{(1)}$ (*i.e.*, the group of units that map to 1 in the residue field). On the other hand, the extension $L_v(\pi_v^{1/\ell})/L_v$ is ramified, so that $\mathfrak{f}_v \neq 1$. Therefore, in the totally tamely ramified case, one concludes that $\mathfrak{f}_v = \mathfrak{p}_v$. □

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the cycle [16, p. 97] defined as:

$$\mathfrak{m} = \prod_{v|\ell} \mathfrak{p}_v^{1+e_v\ell/(\ell-1)} \prod_{v|p\in\Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0}} \mathfrak{p}_v, \tag{52}$$

where e_v is the absolute ramification index of L_v. One considers the subgroup $I_L^{\mathfrak{m}}$ of the idele group I_L [16, p. 98]:

$$I_L^{\mathfrak{m}} = \prod_{v|\ell} U_v^{(1+e_v\ell/(\ell-1))} \times \prod_{v|p\in\Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0}} U_v^{(1)} \times \prod_{v|p\notin\Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0}\cup\{\ell\}} U_v. \tag{53}$$

Here, $\{v\}$ includes the set of infinite primes of L. Since $\mu_\ell \subset L^*$, assuming that $\ell \neq 2$, it follows that the infinite primes of L are all complex. In that case, one sets $U_v = \mathbb{C}^*$. From global class field theory [16, Chapter IV, §7], there exists a unique finite abelian extension $L^{\mathfrak{m}}/L$ such that:

$$(\ ,\ L^{\mathfrak{m}}/L)\ :\ C_L/C_L^{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathfrak{m}}/L), \tag{54}$$

where $C_L = (L^* \cdot I_L)/L^*$ and $C_L^{\mathfrak{m}} = (L^* \cdot I_L^{\mathfrak{m}})/L^*$.

Lemma 3. *Let T be any finite set of prime ideals of an algebraic number field L. Then, there exists a finite set of primes S, disjoint from T, such that the classes of the elements of S generate the ideal class group of L.*

Proof. See [14, pp. 124–125]. □

Lemma 4. *Let S be any finite set of primes of an algebraic number field L, such that: 1) S includes the set S_∞ of infinite primes of L; 2) the classes of the elements of $S \setminus S_\infty$ generate the ideal class group of L. Then, $I_L = L^* \cdot I_L^S$, where I_L^S is the subgroup:*

$$I_L^S = \prod_{v \in S} K_v^* \times \prod_{v \notin S} U_v. \tag{55}$$

Proof. See [16, p. 78]. □

Lemma 5. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\ell > 2$ be a prime number. Set $L = \mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$. Let $T = \{v\ :\ v \mid p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0} \cup \{\ell\}\}$. Let $S \supseteq S_\infty$ be a finite set of primes of L, disjoint from T, such that $L^* \cdot I_L^S = L^* \cdot I_L$. Take S sufficiently large, so that S is closed under Galois action of G. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the subgroup of I_L defined as:*

$$\mathcal{N} = \prod_{v \mid p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0} \cup \{\ell\}} U_v^\ell \times \prod_{v \in S} U_v \cdot (K_v^*)^\ell \times \prod_{v \mid p \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j)<0} \cup \{\ell\}, v \notin S} U_v. \tag{56}$$

Let $L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}/L$ be the finite abelian extension corresponding by global class field theory to the class group $\overline{\mathcal{N}} = (L^* \cdot \mathcal{N})/L^*$. Then, $\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}/L)$ is a ℓ-torsion group such that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}/L), E[\ell]) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathfrak{m}}/L), E[\ell]). \tag{57}$$

Moreover, $L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}$ is Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{L}/L$ be the finite abelian extension with Galois group annihilated by ℓ such that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/L), E[\ell]) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(L^{\mathfrak{m}}/L), E[\ell]), \tag{58}$$

and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ be its class group. Then, both $I_L^{\mathfrak{m}}$ and $\left(I_L^S\right)^\ell$ map into $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$ under the projection $I_L \to C_L$. Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}$. So, $\widetilde{L} \subseteq L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}$. On the other hand, $\widetilde{L}$ is the maximal subextension of $L^{\mathfrak{m}}/L$ with Galois group annihilated by ℓ. But,

$C_L/\overline{\mathcal{N}} \approx (L^* \cdot I_L)/(L^* \cdot \mathcal{N})$ is annihilated by ℓ, since $L^* \cdot I_L^S = L^* \cdot I_L$. Thus, $L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}} \subseteq \widetilde{L}$.

Since S is taken closed under Galois action of G, the same holds true for the class group $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$. It follows that $L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}$ is closed under any element of $\mathcal{G}$. □

Having assumed that $\ell > 2$, the group $U_v \cdot (K_v^*)^\ell$ is actually equal to $U_v = K_v^* = \mathbb{C}^*$, for any $v \in S_\infty$.

Combining Proposition 3 and 4, and Lemma 5, we have reached the following result.

Proposition 5. *Let $\ell > 3$ be a prime number. Let $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ be the class group defined in Lemma 5. Let $\widetilde{L} = L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}$ be the corresponding class field. Set $\widetilde{H} = \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/L)$. Then, one has:*

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/L) = Inf_{\widetilde{H}}^{\mathcal{H}} \ker\Big\{\mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{H}, E[\ell]) \to \oplus_w \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))\Big\}, \tag{59}$$

where w covers all places of $\widetilde{L}$.

3.3 Returning to the group $S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{N}}$ be the class group defined in Lemma 5. Let $\widetilde{L} = L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}$ be the corresponding class field. We set $\widetilde{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $\widetilde{H} = \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/L)$.

Combining Propositions 2 and 5, we have obtained the following result.

Corollary 3. *Let $\ell > 3$ be a prime number. Then, one has:*

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}} \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \to \oplus_w \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))\Big\}, \tag{60}$$

where w covers the places of $\widetilde{L}$.

Proof. Let $w \mid v \mid p$ be places of $\widetilde{L}$, L and $\mathbb{Q}_p$, respectively.

We show the inclusion:

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}} \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \to \oplus_w \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))\Big\}. \tag{61}$$

Let $f \in S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$. Then, $Res_{\mathcal{H}}^{\mathcal{G}}(f) = Inf_{\widetilde{H}}^{\mathcal{H}}(g)$, for some character $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{H}, E[\ell])$ satisfying the property of Proposition 5. Then, for $\sigma_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $\sigma_2 \in \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\widetilde{L})$, one computes:

$$f(\sigma_1\sigma_2) = f(\sigma_1) + {}^{\sigma_1}f(\sigma_2) = f(\sigma_1) + {}^{\sigma_1}g(\sigma_2) = f(\sigma_1).$$

Thus, $f = Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\widetilde{f})$, for some $\widetilde{f} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell])$, and satisfies the stated property, as follows from the following diagram with exact bottom row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \\ & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle Res_{\mathcal{G}_p}^{\mathcal{G}}} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))[\ell] & \xrightarrow{Inf_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\mathcal{G}_p}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_p, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))[\ell]. \end{array} \tag{62}$$

The other inclusion is clear. □

Lemma 6. *Let E be an elliptic curve over an algebraic number field K. Let ℓ be a prime number and consider a place $v \mid p$ of K, where ℓ might be distinct from p. Assume that E has a minimal Weierstrass equation over K_v with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$ and that E does not have bad potentially good reduction at v. Then, any $P_0 \in E(K_v)$ can be written in the form:*

$$P_0 = P \oplus [\ell]Q', \tag{63}$$

where $P \in E(K')$ and $Q' \in E(K'_{v'})$, for some quadratic extension K'/K, with v' a place of K' lying above v.

Proof. From the assumption, let $y^2 + a_1xy + a_3y = x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6$ be a Weierstrass equation of E with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$ that is a minimal Weierstrass equation of E over K_v. Having excluded the case of bad potentially good reduction by assumption, this Weierstrass equation remains minimal over any finite extension of K_v.

Similarly to [27, Exercise 7.6, pp. 203–204], we consider the p-adic topology on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ defined by the p-adic norm such that $|p|_p = p^{-1/p}$. Then, we consider the product topology on $\mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Next, for each $0 \leq i \leq 2$, there is an inclusion $\phi_i : \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \to \mathbb{P}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ [27, p. 9]. This allows to glue together the p-adic product spaces $\phi_i(\mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. In this manner, we obtain the p-adic topology naturally defined on $\mathbb{P}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$.

We consider the expansion around the point at infinity $O = [0, 1, 0]$ of E over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ [27, p. 116]

$$w = z^3 + a_1zw + a_2z^2w + a_3w^3 + a_4zw^2 + a_6w^3 \tag{64}$$

obtained by setting $z = -x/y$ and $w = -1/y$. We consider the neighborhoods $\overline{V}^{(r)} := \{(z, w) \,:\, |z|_p, |w|_p < p^{-r}\}$ of O, for $r \geq 0$. Similarly, we define the neighborhoods $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(r)} := \{z \,:\, |z|_p < p^{-r}\}$ of 0, for $r \geq 0$.

One has $\overline{V}^{(0)} = E_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ and there is an isomorphism of abelian groups $f : E_1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \to F_v(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(0)})$ which sends (z, w) to z [27, p. 191]. One then has $f(\overline{V}^{(r)}) \subseteq F_v(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(r)})$. Now, from [27, Proposition 5.5, p. 129] and the computations in [14, pp. 185–187], one has an isomorphism of abelian groups on the neighborhood $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))}$:

$$\log_{F_v} : F_v(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))}) \xrightarrow{\approx} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))}. \tag{65}$$

If $\ell = p$, then one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{V}^{(1+p/(p-1))} &\subseteq f^{-1}(F_v(\ell\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))})) \subseteq f^{-1}([\ell](F_v(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))}))) \\ &\subseteq [\ell](E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)). \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

If $\ell \neq p$, then one has:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{V}^{(p/(p-1))} &\subseteq f^{-1}(F_v(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))})) = f^{-1}(F_v(\ell\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))})) \\ &\subseteq f^{-1}([\ell](F_v(\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(p/(p-1))}))) \\ &\subseteq [\ell](E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)). \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Thus, $\overline{V}^{(r))} \subseteq [\ell](E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))$, with $r = 1 + p/(p-1)$ in either case Moreover, the above argument shows that for any finite extension L/K_v, one has $\overline{V}^{(r)} \cap \mathbb{P}^2(L) \subseteq [\ell](E(L))$.

Now, let $P_0 \in E(K_v)$. If $P_0 = O$, the point at infinity of E, then there is nothing to prove since $O = [0,1,0] \in E(K)$. So, assume that $P_0 \neq O$ and let (x_0, y_0) be its affine coordinates.

From [27, Exercise 7.6, pp. 203–204], the function $g : E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p) \to E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ defined by $g(P) = P[-]P_0$ is continuous with respect to the p-adic topology. As $g(P_0) = O$, it follows that $P[-]P_0 \in \overline{V}^{(1+p/(p-1))}$, if $P = (x, y)$ is sufficiently close to P_0, say $x - x_0, y - y_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(s)}$.

Now, since K is dense in K_v, we can take $x \in K$ arbitrarily close to $x_0 \in K_v$. Then, we can solve $y^2+a_1xy+a_3y = x^3+a_2x^2+a_4x+a_6$ in a quadratic extension K' of K. Moreover, y can be assumed arbitrarily close to y_0 upon taking x sufficiently close to x_0. In particular, we may assume that $x - x_0, y - y_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{(s)}$. It then follows that $P[-]P_0 \in \overline{V}^{(1+p/(p-1))} \cap \mathbb{P}^2(K'_{v'})$, where v' is a place of K' extending v. The above argument applied to $L = K'_{v'}$ then shows that $\overline{V}^{(1+p/(p-1))} \cap \mathbb{P}^2(K'_{v'}) \subseteq [\ell](K'_{v'})$. Therefore, one concludes that for some $P \in E(K')$ and $Q' \in E(K'_{v'})$, one has: $P_0 = P[+][\ell]Q'$, as was to be shown. □

Lemma 7. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\ell > 2$ be a prime number and w be any place of $\widetilde{L}$ lying above a prime p at which E does not have bad potentially good reduction. Let $\widetilde{f}$ be an element of* $\ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{G}_w}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))\Big\}$. *Then, for some finite extension $L'/\widetilde{L}$, $Inf^{G'}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to* 0 *in* $\mathrm{H}^1(G'_{w'}, E(L'))$, *for any place w' of L' lying above w.*

Proof. Firstly, from [27, Corollary 8.3, p. 245], there exists a Weierstrass equation of E over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is globally minimal. Thus, if $p \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$, this Weierstrass equation remains minimal over any base field extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, so that Lemma 6 applies to that Weierstrass equation.

Let $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{G} \to E[\ell]$ be a 1-cocycle such that $\widetilde{f}(\sigma) = [\sigma - 1]Q_0$ for all $\sigma \in \widetilde{G}_w$, for some $Q_0 \in E(\widetilde{L}_w)$. Then, one has $P_0 := [\ell]Q_0 \in E(\widetilde{L}_w^{\widetilde{G}_w})$. But then, from Lemma 6 applied to $K = \widetilde{L}^{\widetilde{G}_w}$, one may write P_0 in the form $P + [\ell]Q'$, where $P \in E(K')$ and $Q' \in E(K'_{v'})$, for some quadratic extension K'/K, with v' a place of K' lying above the place of K that is below w.

Let $Q \in E(L')$ be such that $[\ell]Q = P$, where L' is a finite extension of K' containing $\widetilde{L}$. Then, one obtains that

$$[\ell](Q_0 - Q - Q') = P_0 - P - [\ell]Q' = 0 \tag{68}$$

in $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Thus, one has $Q_0 = Q + Q' + Q''$, with $Q'' \in E[\ell] \subset E(L')$. In particular, $Q + Q'' \in E(L')$. Therefore, one obtains for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}_w/\widetilde{L}_w \cap K'_{v'}) \approx \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}_w K'_{v'}/K'_{v'})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f}(\sigma) &= [\sigma - 1]Q_0 = [\sigma' - 1](Q_0 - Q') \\ &= [\sigma' - 1](Q + Q''), \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

since $Q' \in E(K'_{v'})$, where σ' is any extension of σ to $\mathrm{Gal}(L'_{w'}/K'_{v'})$, w' being any place of L' lying above w.

But $\mathrm{Gal}(L'_{w'}/K'_{v'}) \approx G''_{w'} := \mathrm{Gal}(L'/K')_{w'}$. Thus, $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(G''_{w'}, E(L'))$. Since $G''_{w'}$ is of index 1 or 2 in $G'_{w'} \approx \mathrm{Gal}(L'/K)_{w'} = \mathrm{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q})_{w'}$, an application of the corestriction homomorphism [2, pp. 80–85] $Cor_{G''_{w'}}^{G'_{w'}}$ to $Res_{G''_{w'}}^{G'_{w'}}(Res_{G'_{w'}}^{G'} \circ Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f}))$ shows that $2Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(G'_{w'}, E(L'))$. But $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps into $\mathrm{H}^1(G', E(L'))[\ell]$. Therefore, assuming that $\ell > 2$, one concludes that $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(G'_{w'}, E(L'))$. □

Proposition 6. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\ell > 2$ be a prime number and w be any place of $\widetilde{L}$ lying above a prime p at which E does not have bad potentially good reduction. Then, one has:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))\Big\} \\ &= \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}))\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Proof. The inclusion $\supseteq$ is clear. For the other inclusion, one has a sequence:

$$\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L})) \xrightarrow{Inf_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{G'_{w'}}} \mathrm{H}^1(G'_{w'}, E(L')), \tag{71}$$

where $Inf_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{G'_{w'}}$ is injective. Now, use Lemma 7. □

We have now reached the following intermediate result.

Corollary 4. *Let $\ell > 3$ be a prime number. Then, one has:*

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}} \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \to \oplus_{w|p \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}))\Big\}, \tag{72}$$

where, for each p, w is a place of $\widetilde{L}$ lying above p.

Proof. The inclusion follows from Corollary 3 and Proposition 6, having assumed that $\ell > 3$. □

Lemma 8. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, for all prime numbers ℓ but finitely many, any prime number $p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))<0}$ ramifies in $L = \mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$ and $\ell \mid e_p(L/\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. Given $p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))<0}$, we take ℓ coprime with $2, 3, -\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))$ and show that $L = \mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$ is ramified at p of ramification index divisible by ℓ.

From Lemma 1, E has multiplicative reduction over L_v, where v is any place of L lying above p. Let L''/L be an unramified extension of degree 1 or 2 for which $E(L'')$ is represented by a Tate curve $E_q(L'')$ [27, Theorem 14.1, p. 445]. Let v'' be a place of L'' lying above v. From Kodaira-Néron Theorem [27, Theorem 6.1, p. 200], the quotient group $E(L''_{v''})/E_0(L''_{v''})$ is a finite group annihilated by $o \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, -v''(j(E))\}$. One has that $-v''(j(E)) = -e_p(L/\mathbb{Q})\,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))$, since L''/L is unramified. Assuming that $\ell \nmid e_p(L/\mathbb{Q})$, one deduces that $(\ell, o) = 1$. It then follows that $E[\ell] = E_0[\ell]$. Indeed, if $P \in E[\ell] \subset E(L''_{v''})$, then the inclusions $[\ell]P = 0 \in E_0(L''_{v''})$ and $[o]P \in E_0(L''_{v''})$ imply that $P \in E_0(L''_{v''})$. But now, from Tate's Theorem [27, p. 445], one has $E_0(L''_{v''}) \approx U(L''_{v''})$ (the group of units of the integer ring of $L''_{v''}$), which implies that $E_0[\ell] \subseteq \mu_\ell$. This conclusion contradicts the fact that $E[\ell] = \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, since $E[\ell] \subset E(L''_{v''})$. Thus, one concludes that $\ell \mid e_p(L/\mathbb{Q})$. □

Lemma 9. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with CM. Then, for all prime numbers ℓ but finitely many, the Galois group $G := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])/\mathbb{Q})$ has order coprime with ℓ.*

Proof. Let E have complex multiplication over a finite extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$. From [21, Proposition 5.3], one may write $\mathcal{O} := \mathrm{End}_F(E)$ in the form $\mathbb{Z} + c\mathcal{O}_K$, where $\mathcal{O}_K$ is the integer ring of an imaginary quadratic field K and $c \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then, for some elliptic curve E' defined over F, one has $\mathrm{End}_F(E') = \mathcal{O}_K$ and $E[\ell] \xrightarrow{\approx} E'[\ell]$ *as Galois modules* for any prime ℓ coprime with the ideal (c) of $\mathcal{O}_K$. This is obtained from a short exact sequence based on an isogeny:

$$0 \to E[c] \to E(\overline{K}) \to E'(\overline{K}) \to 0. \tag{73}$$

Here $E[c]$ is the group of c-torsion points of E.

Next, from [21, Corollary 5.5], one has an embedding of groups:

$$\mathrm{Gal}(F(E'[\ell])/F) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{O}_K/(\ell))^* . \tag{74}$$

But now, all primes ℓ but finitely many are unramified in K. It follows that either $(\mathcal{O}_K/(\ell))^* \approx \mathbb{F}_\ell^* \times \mathbb{F}_\ell^*$ (case where ℓ splits in K) or $(\mathcal{O}_K/(\ell))^* \approx \mathbb{F}_{\ell^2}^*$ (case where ℓ ramifies completely in K). In either case, $(\mathcal{O}_K/(\ell))^*$ has order coprime with ℓ. If $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = d$, the same holds true of G, assuming that $(\ell, d) = 1$. □

Proposition 7. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that $j(E) \notin \mathbb{Z}$ or that E has CM. Then, for all prime numbers ℓ but finitely many, any $\widetilde{f} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell])$ such that $f = Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\widetilde{f}) \in S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))$ if and only if $Res_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E(\widetilde{L}))$, where $\widetilde{H} = \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/L)$.*

Proof. One implication is clear. For the other implication, let $f = Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\widetilde{f}) \in S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, and $\ell > 3$ be a prime number.

From Corollary 4, one then has $\widetilde{f} \in \ker\Big\{ \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{G}_w}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}))\Big\}$ for any place w not lying above a prime $p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$. Now, assume that $Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{H}}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E(\widetilde{L}))$. Then, the image of $\widetilde{f}$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E(\widetilde{L}))$ is of the form $Inf^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{H}}(g)$ for some $g \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))$. We then deduce that $Res^{G}_{G_v}(g) = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_v, E(L))$, where v is the place of L lying below w, based on the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L)) & \xrightarrow{Inf^{\widetilde{G}}_{G}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E(\widetilde{L})) \\ {\scriptstyle Res^{G}_{G_v}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{G}_w}}\downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(G_v, E(L)) & \xrightarrow{Inf^{\widetilde{G}_w}_{G_v}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L})). \end{array} \tag{75}$$

In the case where $\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E)) < 0$, using Lemma 8, we may assume (for almost all prime numbers ℓ) that G_v contains a ℓ-Sylow subgroup G' of $G < \mathbf{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$, since this linear group has order $\ell(\ell-1)^2(\ell+1)$. Therefore, the index $(G : G_v)$ is coprime with ℓ. Now, applying the corestriction homomorphism $Cor^{G}_{G_v}$ [2, pp. 80–85], one obtains that $(G : G_v)g = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))$. On the other hand, one has $\widetilde{f} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell])$ so that $g \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))[\ell]$, since $Inf^{\widetilde{G}}_{G}$ is injective. As $(G : G_v)$ and ℓ are coprime, it follows that $g = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))$. Therefore, one concludes that $\widetilde{f}$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E(\widetilde{L}))$, as was to be shown.

In the case where E has CM, based on Lemma 9, one may assume (for almost all prime numbers ℓ) that G has order coprime with ℓ. Therefore, the index $(G : G_v)$ is coprime with ℓ and the above argument applies. Here, the only requirement is that $p \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$. □

Proposition 8. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\ell > 3$ be a prime number. Then, for any $f = Inf^{\mathcal{G}}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{f}) \in S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, $Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{H}}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E(\widetilde{L}))$, where $\widetilde{H} = \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/L)$.*

Proof. Given $f = Inf^{\mathcal{G}}_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{f}) \in S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, let $\widetilde{\Psi} = Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{H}}(\widetilde{f}) \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E[\ell]) = \mathrm{Hom}(\widetilde{H}, E[\ell])$. Set $L' = \widetilde{L}^{\ker\widetilde{\Psi}}$ and $N = \mathrm{Gal}(L'/L)$, which is a quotient group of $\widetilde{H}$. Then, $\widetilde{\Psi} = Inf^{\widetilde{H}}_{N}(\Psi')$, for some (unique) $\Psi' \in \mathrm{Hom}(N, E[\ell])$.

Since $\widetilde{H}_w = \widetilde{H} \cap \widetilde{G}_w$ for any place w of $\widetilde{L}$, one has a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{G}_w}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L})) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res^{\widetilde{G}}_{\widetilde{H}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Res^{\widetilde{G}_w}_{\widetilde{H}_w}} \\ \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{Res^{\widetilde{H}}_{\widetilde{H}_w}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}_w, E(\widetilde{L})). \end{array} \tag{76}$$

From Corollary 4, it follows that $Res^{\widetilde{H}}_{\widetilde{H}_w}(\widetilde{\Phi})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}_w, E(\widetilde{L}))$, whenever $w \mid p \notin \Sigma_{E,add}$.

Moreover, let w be a place of $\widetilde{L}$ lying above a place v' of L' and let v be the place of L lying below v' (and w). Then, $N_{v'} = N_v$ (again, N is abelian) is a quotient group of $\widetilde{H}_w$. Thus, one has a commutative diagram with exact bottom row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathrm{H}^1(N, E[\ell]) & \xrightarrow{Inf_N^{\widetilde{H}}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E[\ell]) \\ & & \Big\downarrow Res^N_{N_v} & & \Big\downarrow Res^{\widetilde{H}}_{\widetilde{H}_w} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E(\widetilde{L})) & \xrightarrow{Inf_{N_v}^{\widetilde{G}_w}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}_w, E(\widetilde{L})). \end{array} \tag{77}$$

Therefore, $Res^N_{N_v}\Psi'$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E(\widetilde{L}))$, whenever $v \mid p \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$.

Case 1. Assume that $N = 0$. Then $\widetilde{\Psi} = Inf_N^{\widetilde{H}}(\Psi')$ splits in $\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E(\widetilde{L}))$.

Case 2. Assume that $N \approx \mathbb{F}_\ell$. Then there are infinitely many places v of L such that $N_v = N$, for otherwise almost all places of L would split completely in L', so that L' would be equal to L [16, Corollary (6.6), p. 134]. In particular, there is a place $v \mid p \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$ such that $N_v = N$. From the above remarks, it follows that Ψ' maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E(\widetilde{L})) = \mathrm{H}^1(N, E(\widetilde{L}))$. Thus, $\widetilde{\Psi} = Inf_N^{\widetilde{H}}(\Psi')$ splits in $E(\widetilde{L})$).

Case 3. Assume that $N \approx \mathbb{F}_\ell \oplus \mathbb{F}_\ell$ and that for some place v of L, $N_v = N$. Then, this place ramifies in L' (because unramified extensions of local fields are cyclic), so that $v \mid p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))<0} \cup \{\ell\}$. Again, from Corollary 4 and the above remarks, it follows that Ψ' maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(N_v, E(\widetilde{L})) = \mathrm{H}^1(N, E(\widetilde{L}))$, so that $\widetilde{\Psi} = Inf_N^{\widetilde{H}}(\Psi')$ splits in $E(\widetilde{L})$).

Case 4. Let $N \approx \mathbb{F}_\ell \oplus \mathbb{F}_\ell$ and assume that $N_v = 0$ or $\mathbb{F}_\ell$, for any place v of L. From the above argument, there exist infinitely many places of L such that $N_v \neq 0$, for otherwise $L' = L$. In particular, one can choose $v_1 \mid p_1 \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$ such that $N_{v_1} \approx \mathbb{F}_\ell$.

Next, let $L'' = (L')^{N_{v_1}}$. Since L''/L has degree ℓ, it follows again that there are infinitely many places v'' of L'' such that $\mathrm{Gal}(L''/L)_{v''} \neq 0$. Therefore, one may assume that $v'' = v_2 \mid p_2 \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$. Furthermore, since $N_{v_2} = \mathrm{Gal}(L'/L)_{v_2}$ projects onto $\mathrm{Gal}(L''/L)_{v_2}$, it follows that N_{v_1} and N_{v_2} generate N as abelian groups, and hence as vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$. Since N has dimension 2, one has a decomposition $N = N_{v_1} \oplus N_{v_2}$ as vector spaces over $\mathbb{F}_\ell$, and hence as abelian groups.

Thus, there exist two places v_1 and v_2, each lying above a prime $p_i \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$, for $i = 1, 2$, such that $N = N_{v_1} \oplus N_{v_2}$. This yields a decomposition:

$$\Psi' = Inf^N_{N_{v_1}} \circ Res^N_{N_{v_1}}(\Psi') + Inf^N_{N_{v_2}} \circ Res^N_{N_{v_2}}(\Psi'). \tag{78}$$

But from the above remarks, it follows that $Res^N_{N_{v_i}}(\Psi')$ splits in $\mathrm{H}^1(N_{v_i}, E(\widetilde{L}))$, for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, one obtains that Ψ' splits in $E(\widetilde{L})$. One concludes that $\widetilde{\Psi} = Inf_N^{\widetilde{H}}(\Psi')$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E(\widetilde{L}))$. □

We have now reached the main results of this work.

Proof of Theorems 5 and 6. We show the inclusion:

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))\Big\}. \tag{79}$$

From Corollary 3 (assuming that $\ell > 3$), $f \in S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is of the form $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\widetilde{f})$, for some $\widetilde{f} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell])$, where $\widetilde{L} = L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}$ is the class field defined in Lemma 5. From Propositions 7 and 8 (taking ℓ sufficiently large), $\widetilde{f}$ splits in $E(\widetilde{L})$, and henceforth, f maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))$.

The other inclusion is clear since, for any prime number p, the homomorphism $Res_{\mathcal{G}_p}^{\mathcal{G}} : \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_p, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))$ is the composition of homomorphisms $\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})) \xrightarrow{Res_{\mathcal{G}_p}^{\mathcal{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}_p, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p))$. □

3.4 The remaining case

Lastly, we consider the case of an elliptic curve without CM but with integral j-invariant. From [24, Théorème 2, p. 294], the Galois group $G = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])/\mathbb{Q})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Gl}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ for almost all primes ℓ. In particular, unlike the case of an elliptic curve with CM, one then has $\ell \mid |G|$.

So, in order to apply the above strategy, one would have to find a place v above some prime $p \notin \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$ such that $\ell \mid |G_v|$. Indeed, in that case, one would obtain that the index $(G : G_v)$ is coprime with ℓ. But now, from [4], there are infinitely many primes ℓ such that E has supersingular good reduction at ℓ. At these primes, G_v has order coprime with ℓ for any place v of $L = \mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$ lying above ℓ, as follows from [24, Proposition 12, p. 275, and Section 2.1, pp. 278-279]. Moreover, if E has good reduction at $p \neq \ell$, then the Galois representation of $\mathcal{G}_p$ on the Tate module $T_\ell(E)$ of E over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is unramified, as follows from the Ogg-Néron-Shafarevich criterion [27, Theorem 7.1, p. 201]. Furthermore, one then has an isomorphism $T_\ell(E) \approx T_\ell(\widetilde{E})$ as Galois representations, where $\widetilde{E}$ is the reduction of E over $\mathbb{F}_p$. From the Weil conjectures [27, Theorem 2.4, p. 143], it follows that G_v is a cyclic group of order coprime with ℓ for any place v of L lying above p.

Thus, having assumed that $j(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have to consider a prime $p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$. Note that $\Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0} \neq \emptyset$ from [27, Exerc. 8.15, p. 264]. But then, Lemma 7 excluded the case of bad potentially good reduction. This issue is addressed with the following result.

Lemma 10. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let ℓ be a prime number sufficiently large and w be any place of $\widetilde{L}$ lying above a prime p at which E has bad potentially good reduction. Let $\widetilde{f}$ be an element of* $\ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))\Big\}$. *Then, for some finite extension $L'/\widetilde{L}$, $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(G'_{w'}, E(L'))$, for any place w' of L' lying above w.*

Proof. Firstly, from [27, Proof of (5.5), p. 199], E has no bad potentially good reduction over a base field extension $F/\mathbb{Q}$ of bounded degree (c.f. Legendre form or Deuring normal form of the Weierstrass equation explained in Section 2.2). Consider the fractional ideal $\mathfrak{a}_\Delta$ associated to the discriminant of a Weierstrass equation of E over F. Then, $\mathfrak{a}_\Delta$ is a principal ideal in the Hilbert class field F^1 of F [16, Theorem (8.6), p. 107]. From [27, Proposition 8.2, p. 244], it follows that E has a global minimal Weierstrass equation over F^1. Thus, this Weierstrass equation remains minimal over any base field extension of F^1, as E is semi-stable over F, so that Lemma 6 applies to that Weierstrass equation.

Let d be the degree of $F^1/\mathbb{Q}$. We consider ℓ coprime with $2d$.

Let $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{G} \to E[\ell]$ be a 1-cocycle such that $\widetilde{f}(\sigma) = [\sigma - 1]Q_0$ for all $\sigma \in \widetilde{G}_w$, for some $Q_0 \in E(\widetilde{L}_w)$. Then, one has $P_0 := [\ell]Q_0 \in E(\widetilde{L}_w^{\widetilde{G}_w})$. Set $K = \widetilde{L}^{\widetilde{G}_w}$. Then, from Lemma 6 applied to KF^1, one may write P_0 in the form $P + [\ell]Q'$, where $P \in E(K')$ and $Q' \in E(K'_{v'})$, for some quadratic extension K'/KF^1, with v' a place of K' lying above the place of K that is below w.

Let $Q \in E(L')$ be such that $[\ell]Q = P$, where L' is a finite extension of K' containing also $\widetilde{L}$. Then, one obtains that

$$[\ell](Q_0 - Q - Q') = P_0 - P - [\ell]Q' = 0 \tag{80}$$

in $E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$. Thus, one has $Q_0 = Q + Q' + Q''$, with $Q'' \in E[\ell] \subset E(L')$. In particular, $Q + Q'' \in E(L')$. Therefore, one obtains for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}_w/\widetilde{L}_w \cap K'_{v'}) \approx \mathrm{Gal}(\widetilde{L}_w K'_{v'}/K'_{v'})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f}(\sigma) &= [\sigma - 1]Q_0 = [\sigma' - 1](Q_0 - Q') \\ &= [\sigma' - 1](Q + Q''), \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

since $Q' \in E(K'_{v'})$, where σ' is any extension of σ to $\mathrm{Gal}(L'_{w'}/K'_{v'})$, w' being any place of L' lying above w.

But $\mathrm{Gal}(L'_{w'}/K'_{v'}) \approx G''_{w'} := \mathrm{Gal}(L'/K')_{w'}$. Thus, $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(G''_{w'}, E(L'))$. Since $G''_{w'}$ is of index dividing $2d$ in $G'_{w'} \approx \mathrm{Gal}(L'/K)_{w'} = \mathrm{Gal}(L'/\mathbb{Q})_{w'}$, an application of the corestriction homomorphism [2, pp. 80–85] $Cor_{G''_{w'}}^{G'_{w'}}$ to $Res_{G''_{w'}}^{G'_{w'}}(Res_{G'_{w'}}^{G'} \circ Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f}))$ shows that $2dInf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(G'_{w'}, E(L'))$. But $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps into $\mathrm{H}^1(G', E(L'))[\ell]$. Therefore, assuming that ℓ is coprime with $2d$, one concludes that $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{G'}(\widetilde{f})$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(G'_{w'}, E(L'))$. □

Based on Lemma 10, one obtains the following variant of Proposition 6.

Proposition 9. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let ℓ be a prime number sufficiently large and w be any place of $\widetilde{L}$ lying above a prime p at which E has bad potentially good reduction. Then, one has:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}_w))\Big\} \\ &= \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}))\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

We have reached the following variant of Corollary 4.

Corollary 5. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. For almost all primes ℓ, one has:*

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}} \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \to \oplus_w \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}))\Big\}, \tag{83}$$

where w covers all places of $\widetilde{L}$.

Nevertheless, assuming that $j(E) \in \mathbb{Z}$, E has good reduction over a base field extension $K'/\mathbb{Q}$ of degree d divided only by powers of 2 and 3, so that $\ell \nmid |G_v|$ for almost all primes ℓ, whenever $v \mid p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$. Here, we argue as in the good reduction case and $p \neq \ell$, based on the Ogg-Néron-Shafarevich criterion and the Weil conjectures, to show that $\mathrm{Gal}(L/L\cap K')_v$ is a cyclic group of order coprime with ℓ at any place v of L lying above p. It follows that G_v has order coprime with ℓ at any place v of L lying above p, for almost all primes ℓ. Thus, an application of Proposition 5 in the case of supersingular good reduction at ℓ would have to go beyond a mere application of the corestriction map $Cor_{G_v}^{G}$, as was done in this work, even with the help of Corollary 5.

On the other hand, in the case of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ without CM, Serre proved that for ordinary good reduction at a prime ℓ, either the inertia group I_v, where $v \mid \ell$ is a place of $L = \mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])$, has order $\ell - 1$ (tame ramification at v) or order $\ell(\ell-1)$ (wild ramification at v) [24, Corollaire, p. 274]. In the latter case, an application of the corestriction map $Cor_{G_v}^{G}$, where $v \mid \ell$, yields the following result.

Theorem 7. *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ with integral j-invariant and without CM. Then, for all prime numbers $\ell > 3$ where E has ordinary good reduction and $\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell])/\mathbb{Q}$ has wild ramification at ℓ, one has:*

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))\Big\}. \tag{84}$$

Proof. We show the inclusion:

$$S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E[\ell]) \to \mathrm{H}^1(\mathcal{G}, E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}))\Big\}. \tag{85}$$

From Corollary 3 (assuming that $\ell > 3$), $f \in S^{[\ell]}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is of the form $Inf_{\widetilde{G}}^{\mathcal{G}}(\widetilde{f})$, for some $\widetilde{f} \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell])$, where $\widetilde{L} = L_{\overline{\mathcal{N}}}$ is the class field defined in Lemma 5.

From Corollary 4, one then has $\widetilde{f} \in \ker\Big\{\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E[\ell]) \xrightarrow{Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}} \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L}))\Big\}$ for any place w not lying above a prime $p \in \Sigma_{E,\mathrm{ord}_p(j(E))\geq 0}$. In particular, we can take $w \mid \ell$.

From Proposition 8 (assuming that $\ell > 3$), $Res_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{G}}(f)$ maps to 0 in $\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{H}, E(\widetilde{L}))$. Thus, the image of $\widetilde{f}$ in $\mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E(\widetilde{L}))$ is of the form $Inf_{\widetilde{H}}^{\widetilde{G}}(g)$ for some $g \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))$. We then deduce that $Res_{G_v}^{G}(g) = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(G_v, E(L))$, where v is

the place of L lying below w, based on the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L)) & \xrightarrow{Inf_G^{\widetilde{G}}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E(\widetilde{L})) \\ {\scriptstyle Res_{G_v}^{G}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle Res_{\widetilde{G}_w}^{\widetilde{G}}}\downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(G_v, E(L)) & \xrightarrow{Inf_{G_v}^{\widetilde{G}_w}} & \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}_w, E(\widetilde{L})). \end{array} \tag{86}$$

It follows that $(G : G_v)g = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))$, after application of the corestriction homomorphism $Cor_{G_v}^{G}$. But one has also $g \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))[\ell]$. Since $(G : G_v)$ is coprime with ℓ, as $\ell \mid |G_v|$ and $|G|$ divides $\ell(\ell-1)^2(\ell+1)$, it follows that $g = 0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(G, E(L))$. Therefore, one concludes that $\widetilde{f}$ maps to $0 \in \mathrm{H}^1(\widetilde{G}, E(\widetilde{L}))$, as was to be shown.

The other inclusion is clear, as explained at the end of Section 3.3. □

As in [24, p. 274], denote Σ_b and Σ_c the sets of primes ℓ at which E has ordinary good reduction and tame or wild, respectively, ramification of L at ℓ. Serre [24, Remarque, p. 274] asks whether Σ_b has density 0. In that case, one would have a set of primes ℓ of density 1 for which $Ш(E/\mathbb{Q})_\ell = 0$; namely, all primes $\ell > 3$ of Σ_c, since Theorem 7 then applies.

4 Examples

From [27, Proposition 1.4, p. 45], to each $j \in \mathbb{Q}$ corresponds a unique class of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ up to isomorphism over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. For each $j_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, the class of elliptic curves having j_0 as j-invariant is in one-to-one correspondence with $\mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^{n(j)}$, where $n(j) = 2, 4, 6$ according to the cases $j \neq 0, 1738$, $j = 1728$, or $j = 0$, respectively [27, Corollary 5.4.1, p. 343]. In particular, this yields infinitely many examples of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ with non-integral j-invariant (and $n(j)$ is then 2).

From [27, p. 427], there are exactly 13 elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ up to isomorphism over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ having CM. Then, for each corresponding j-invariant, there are infinitely many elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ having CM (*i.e.*, over a finite base field extension).

Next, consulting [22, Table 1], we consider the elliptic curve from [17]:

$$E \ : \ y^2 = x^3 + ax^2 + bx, \tag{87}$$

with $a = 1692602$ and $b = -3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37$. This equation corresponds to [27, p. 42]:

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = a_3 = a_6 = 0; \\ a_2 = a; \quad a_4 = b; \\ b_2 = 4a; \quad b_4 = 2b; \quad b_6 = 0; \\ b_8 = -b^2; \\ c_4 = 16a^2 - 48b. \end{cases} \tag{88}$$

One computes the discriminant:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(E) &= -b_2^2 b_8 - 8b_4^3 - 27b_6^2 + 9b_2 b_4 b_6 \\ &= 16a^2b^2 - 64b^3, \end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

and the j-invariant:

$$j(E) = c_4^3/\Delta = \frac{(16)^2(a^2-3b)^3}{b^2(a^2-4b)}. \tag{90}$$

Thus, since $a \not\equiv 0 \mod 5$ and $b \equiv 0 \mod 5$, one obtains an elliptic curve with no bad potentially good reduction at $p = 5$. Moreover, from [17], E has rank at least 7 over $\mathbb{Q}$. Therefore, Theorem 4 does not apply, whereas Theorem 5 does. This example solves the open problem mentioned in [28, Problem 2.16, p. 27].

References

[1] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, and R. Taylor. On the modularity of elliptic curves over Q: Wild 3-adic exercises. *J. Am. Math. Soc.*, 14(4):843–939, 2001.

[2] K. S. Brown. *Cohomolgy of groups*. Springer-Verlag New-York, 1982.

[3] J. Coates and A. Wiles. On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. *Invent. Math.*, 39(3):223–251, 1977.

[4] N. D. Elkies. The existence of infinitely many supersingular primes for every elliptic curve over q. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 89:561–568, 1987.

[5] B. H. Gross and D. B. Zagier. Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. *Invent. Math.*, 84(2):225–320, 1986.

[6] K. Gruenberg. Profinite groups. In J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, editors, *Algebraic Number Theory*, pages 116–127. Academic Press, 1967.

[7] V. A. Kolyvagin. Formal groups and the norm residue symbol. *Math. USSR Izvestija*, 15(2):289–348, 1980.

[8] V. A. Kolyvagin. Finiteness of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and $SH(E, \mathbb{Q})$ for a subclass of Weil curves. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 52:522–540, 1988.

[9] V. A. Kolyvagin. On the Mordell-Weil and Shafarevich-Tate groups for Weil elliptic curves. *Izv. SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 52:1154–1180, 1988.

[10] V. A. Kolyvagin. On the Mordell-Weil group and the Shafarevich-Tate group of modular elliptic curves. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Kyoto, Japan, 1990*, pages 429–436, 1990.

[11] V. A. Kolyvagin. Euler systems. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II*, volume 87 of *Progr. in Math.*, pages 435–483. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1991.

[12] V. A. Kolyvagin and D. Y. Logachev. Finiteness of the Shafarevich-Tate group and the group of rational points for some modular abelian varieties. *Algebra i Analiz*, 1(5):171–196, 1989.

[13] V. A. Kolyvagin and D. Y. E. Logachev. Finiteness of sh over totally real fields. *Izvestiya Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk. Seriya Matematicheskaya*, 55(4):851–876, 1991.

[14] S. Lang. *Algebraic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag New-York Berlin Heidelberg London Paris Tokyo, 1986.

[15] V. K. Murty. Modular ellitic curves. In V. K. Murty, editor, *Seminar on Fermat's Last Theorem*, volume 17, pages 1–38. CMS Conference Proceedings, 1995.

[16] J. Neukirch. *Class Field Theory*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New-York Tokyo, 1986.

[17] D. E. Penney and C. Pomerance. Three elliptic curves with rank at least seven. *Math. Comp.*, 39(131):965–967, 1975.

[18] B. Poonen. The Selmer group, the Shafarevich-Tate group, and the weak Mordell-Weil Theorem. `http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~roblot/ihp/weakmw.pdf`, 1999.

[19] B. Poonen and M. Stoll. The Cassels-Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties. *Ann. Math.*, 150(3):1109–1149, 1999.

[20] K. Rubin. Tate-Shafarevich groups and L-functions of elliptic curves with complex multiplication. *Invent. Math.*, 89(3):527–559, 1987.

[21] K. Rubin. Elliptic curves with complex multiplication and the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer. In *Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves*, pages 167–234. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.

[22] K. Rubin and A. Silverberg. Ranks of elliptic curves. *Bull. (New Series) of the AMS*, 39(4):455–474, 2002.

[23] J.-P. Serre. *Abelian l-adic Representations and Elliptic Curves*. Benjamin, 1968.

[24] J.-P. Serre. Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. *Invent. math.*, 15:259–331, 1972.

[25] J.-P. Serre. *Local fields*. Springer-Verlag New-York, 1979.

[26] J.-P. Serre. Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 54:323–401, 1981.

[27] J. H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves, 2nd edition*. Springer-Verlag New-York, 2009.

[28] W. A. Stein. The Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture, a Computational Approach. `http://www.wstein.org/edu/2007/spring/bsd/bsd.pdf`, 2007.

[29] R. Taylor and A. Wiles. Ring-theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras. *Ann. Math.*, 141(3):553–572, 1995.

[30] A. Wiles. Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem. *Ann. Math.*, 141(3):443–551, 1995.